

No. 9/4/87-6Lab./6974.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Rinka Printers, I-H/29, N.I.T., Faridabad :—

BEFORE SHRI S. B. AHUJA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 83/1987

*between*

SHRI BABU LAL, C/O SHRI BHIM SINGH YADAV, IK/14, N.I.T., FARIDABAD AND  
THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S RINKA PRINTERS, IH/29, N.I.T., FARIDABAD

*Present :*

None.

**AWARD**

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the Shri Babu Lal, workman and the management of M/s Rinka Printers, IH/29, N.I.T., Faridabad to this Tribunal for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Babu Lal is justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

2. Notices of the reference were given to the parties. Shri Bhim Singh Yadav, Authorised Representative for the workman through whom this reference was made has noted the date of hearing but even then neither the workman nor his authorised representative was appeared. The respondent-management has also not appeared despite service. It appears that both the parties are not interested in the award. Hence the reference is dismissed for non-prosecution by the workman.

S. B. AHUJA,

Presiding Officer,

Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

Endst. No. 1287, dated the 27th August, 1987.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

S. B. AHUJA,

Presiding Officer,

Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 9/4/87-6Lab/6975.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. Faridabad Automotive Components, 272/24, N.I.T., Faridabad :—

BEFORE SHRI S. B. AHUJA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 77/1987

*between*

SHRI R. D. YADAV, AUTO PIN JHUGGI, 720, N.I.T., FARIDABAD AND  
THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. FARIDABAD AUTOMOTIVE COMPONENTS,  
272/24, N.I.T., FARIDABAD

*Present :*

Mrs. Prabh Jyoti Kaur, Proprietor for the respondent.  
None for the workman.

## AWARD

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between Shri R. D. Yadav, workman and the management of M/s. Faridabad Automotive Components, 272/24, N. I. T., Faridabad to this Tribunal for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri R. D. Yadav, is justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

2. Notices were issued to the parties. Mrs. Prabh Jyoti Kaur, Proprietor of the respondent factory appeared whereas R. D. Yadav, workman did not put in appearance despite service and was proceeded *ex parte*. The statement of Prabh Jyoti Kaur proprietor of the respondent firm has been recorded. She has stated that the workman had settled the dispute with the Management and a sum of Rs. 5,872.55 has been paid to Shri R. D. Yadav, in full and final settlement of his claim and the claimant has relinquished his rights for reinstatement. She has produced on record copy of settlement Ex. S-1 and receipt Ex. S-2.

There is no reason to disbelieve her particularly when the petitioner has not come forward to rebut the such position. Moreover the payment was made to the workman in presence of the Labour Officer, Faridabad.

3. In view of the statement, no point survives for adjudication. The award is passed accordingly.

Dated the 12th August, 1987.

S. B. AHUJA,

Presiding Officer,

Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

Endst. No. 1084, dated the 27th August, 1987.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

S. B. AHUJA,

Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

T. D. JOGPAL,  
Commissioner & Secy. to Government Haryana,  
Labour & Employment Department.

श्रम विभाग

आदेश

दिनांक 14 सितम्बर, 1987

सं० ओ० वि०/एफ०डी०/98-87/36624.—चूंकि हरियाणा के राज्यपाल की राये हैं कि मैं अमर इन्जिनियरिंग वर्क्स, 106/6, फरीदाबाद के श्रमिक श्री राजबीर सिंह, पुत्र श्री बदन सिंह मार्फत हिन्द मजदूर सभा, 51-ए, सैक्टर 6, फरीदाबाद तथा प्रबन्धकों के मध्य इसमें इसके बाद लिखित मामले के सम्बन्ध में कोई आवृत्तिक विवाद है ;

और चूंकि हरियाणा के राज्यपाल इस विवाद को न्यायनिर्णय हेतु निर्दिष्ट करना बांधनीय समझते हैं ;

इसलिये, अब, आवृत्तिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 की धारा 10 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (घ) द्वारा प्रदान की गई शर्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुये हरियाणा के राज्यपाल इस के द्वारा उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7-के अधीन गठित आवृत्तिक अधिकरण, हरियाणा, फरीदाबाद को नीचे विनिर्दिष्ट मामले जो कि उक्त प्रबन्धकों तथा श्रमिकों के बीच या तो विवादप्रस्त मामला/मामले हैं अथवा विवाद से सुसंगत या संबंधित मामला/मामले हैं न्याय निर्णय एवं पंचाट 3 मास में देने हेतु निर्दिष्ट करते हैं :—

क्या श्री राजबीर सिंह की सेवाओं का समापन न्यायोचित तथा ठीक है ? यदि नहीं तो वह किस राहत का हकदार है ?